1	OSVALDO E. FUMO, ESQ.		
2	Nevada bar No. 5956		
2	PITARO & FUMO, CHTD.   601 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, SOUTH		
3	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101		
4	Phone: 702.474.7554 Fax: 702-474-4210		
5	Email: kristine.fumolaw@gmail.com Attorney for Defendant EVERETT GOODEN		
	EVERETT GOODET		
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
´	DISTRICT OF NEVADA  * * *		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) 2:18-CR-00318-JCM-DJA	
10		)	
11	Plaintiff,	) ) CTIDLU ATION AND ODDED TO	
12	v.	) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO ) CONTINUE SENTENCING	
13	EVERETT GOODEN,		
14		)	
14	Defendant.	(First Request)	
15	Defendant.	)	
16		_/	
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between EVERETT GOODEN, Defendant, by		
18	and through his counsel OSVALDO E. FUMO, ESQ, and the United States of America, KEVIN		
19	SCHIFF, Assistant United States Attorney, that Sentencing in the above-captioned matter		
20	currently scheduled for January 8, 2020, at the hour of 10:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to		
21	30 days or to a date and time to be set by this Honorable Court.		
22	as days or to a date and time to be set by a	ins Honorable Court	
23	This Stipulation is entered into for	the following reasons:	
24	Counsel for defendant has spok	en to his client and he has no objection to this	
25	continuance.		
26	2. Defendent Condenting of	in anotady in Dahmana and data art chirotte d	
	2. Defendant Gooden is currently in custody in Pahrump and does not object to the		
27	continuance.		
/× 1	1		

- Counsel has spoken to AUSA Kevin Schiff and he has no objection to the continuance.
- 4. Counsel will need additional time to adequately prepare for sentencing and confer with his client regarding the issues and concerns he has on the presentence investigation report.
- 5. Denial for this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date.
- 8. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(8) (A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(8)(B)(i) and 3161 (h)(8)(B)(iv).

///

///

///

## 9. This is the first request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case. DATED this 23rd day of December 2019. PITARO & FUMO, CHTD. **UNITED STATES ATTORNEY** OSVALDO E. FUMO, ESQ. KEVIN SCHIFF, ESQ. 601 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, SOUTH ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 501 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD SOUTH. #1100 ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 **EVERETT GOODEN**

Case 2:18-cr-00318-JCM-DJA Document 58 Filed 12/26/19 Page 3 of 5

1			
2	UNITED	STATES OF AMERICA,	) 2:18-CR-00318-JCM-DJA
3	Plaintiff,		) ) EINDINGS OF FACT AND
4	v.		) FINDINGS OF FACT AND ) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
5	EVERETT GOODEN,		
6 7			(First Request)
8	Defendant.		
9	FINDINGS OF FACT		
10	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the		
11	Court finds:		
12	1.	Counsel for defendant has spoken	to his client and he has no objection to this
13		continuance.	
14			
15	2.	Defendant Gooden is currently in	custody in Pahrump and does not object to the
16		continuance.	
17	3.	Counsel has spoken to AUSA Ke	vin Schiff and he has no objection to the
18		continuance.	
19	4.	Counsel will need additional tim	e to adequately prepare for sentencing and confer
20		with his client regarding the issues and concerns he has on the presentence	
21			s and concerns he has on the presentence
22		investigation report.	
23	5.	Denial for this request for continu	ance would deny the parties herein time and the
24		opportunity within which to effec	tively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial
25 26		in this case, taking into account the	ne exercise of due diligence.
27	6.	Additionally, denial of this reques	st for continuance would result in a miscarriage of
28		justice.	

- 7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date.
- 8. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(8) (A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(8)(B)(i) and 3161 (h)(8)(B)(iv).

This is the first request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The end of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendants in a speedy trial since the failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(8) (A), considering the factors under title 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(8)(B)(i) and 3161 (h)(8)(B)(iv).

## **ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that Sentencing currently scheduled for January 8, 2020 at the hour of 10:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to **February 2, 2020** at the hour of 10:00 AM . in Courtroom 6A.

 $_{\mbox{DATED}}$  December 26, 2019.

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE